Exploratory Research Laboratories, Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Enoki 33-94, Suita, Osaka 564, Japan Received October 7, 1994

Five variants (methods A—E) of a synthetic route to 6-amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1H-1,4-diazepine (3b) using N-benzyl-N'-methylethylenediamine (8a) are described. The reaction of 8a with 1-benzenesulfonyl-2-bromomethylaziridine (7), 2-phenyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)oxazoline (13), and  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -dibromoisobutyric acid (15) resulted in the direct cyclization to give the precursor of 3b, 6-substituted 1,4-diazepine derivatives 9, 14, and 16, respectively (methods A—C). These compounds were transformed into the desired 3b. The preparation of 1,4-diazepine ring from methyl 2-tert-butoxycarbonyl-aminopropenate (18) was alternatively achieved by the intramolecular amidation of the intermediate 19a (method D) or reductive cyclization of the aminoaldehyde 23a (method E). Method E was found to efficiently produce the 6-amino-1,4-diazepine 3b.

# J. Heterocyclic Chem., 32, 637 (1995).

We have previously reported that the nucleophilic reaction of 1-benzyl-2-chloromethyl-4-methylpiperazine (1) with sodium azide gave a mixture of the ring-expanded azido derivative 3a and the normally substituted product 4a via the postulated aziridinium cation intermediate 2. The products were isolated as the N-acetyl derivatives 3c and 4c in 15% and 68% yields, respectively [1] (Scheme 1). 6-Amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1H-1,4diazepine (3b) is an important fragment for the preparation of the new benzamide 5 and carboxamide 6 with a potent serotonin 3 receptor antagonistic activity [2] (Figure 1). However, the application of this ring expansion of 1 in a large-scale production seems not to be practical because of the low yield of the product 3c and the use of sodium azide. The present work was undertaken in order to establish practical and convenient synthetic method for 3b. Here we describe five synthetic routes (methods A—E) to 6-aminohexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine ring using N-benzyl-N'-methylethylenediamine (8a).

CI CONH CH<sub>2</sub>Ph

5

CH<sub>2</sub>Ph

CH<sub>2</sub>Ph

$$CH_2$$
Ph

 $CH_2$ Ph

Figure 1

# Chemistry

Gaertner reported that 6-tert-butylamino-1,4-dimethylhexahydro-1H-1,4-diazepine was obtained by treatment of 1-tert-butyl-2-chloromethylaziridine with N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine in acetonitlile (110°, 1—3 days) along with the six-membered product, 2-(tert-butylaminomethyl)-1,4-dimethylpiperazine, in 9% and 54% yields, respectively [3] (Scheme 2). In a first synthetic method for 6-amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1H-1,4-

# Scheme 2 Vert-Bu-NH CH3 NHCH3 110° CH3 CH3 CH3 tert-Bu-NH N CH3

### Scheme 4

diazepine (3b), we applied his procedure; the reaction of 1-benzenesulfonyl-2-bromomethylaziridine (7) [4] as an activated aziridine, instead of 1-tert-butyl-2-chloromethylaziridine, with N-benzyl-N'-methylethylenediamine (8a) [5] was investigated. Refluxing 7 with 8a in ethyl acetate

for 4 hours afforded the expected seven-membered product 9 and 3- and 2-(benzenesulfonylaminomethyl)-1-benzyl-4-methylpiperazines (10 and 11) in 21%, 5%, and 50% yields, respectively. These compounds were characterized by ms and <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectra [1]. Additionally, the piperazine

11 was identical with the benzenesulfonyl derivative of **4b** reported previously [1]. Attempted *N*-deprotection of **9** to **3b** by hydrobromic or hydroiodic acid was unsuccessful. On the other hand, the benzenesulfonyl group of **9** was successfully removed by treatment of sodium bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride in xylene to give the target **3b** (Scheme 3, method A).

On the basis of the results described above, the possible pathway of the reaction is shown in Scheme 4. In the reaction of 7 with the methylamino moiety of the ethylenediamine 8a, because the process involving the substitution of the bromine is presumed to take place considerably more slowly, an aziridine ring-opening reaction dominantly occurs; the cleavage of  $C_3$ -N (path a) and  $C_2$ -N (path b) bonds of the aziridine ring of 7 gives the intermediates A and B, respectively. The seven-membered product, the 1,4diazepine 9, may be formed from the intermediate A directly (path c) and/or via the azetidinium cation **D** (path d). On the other hand, the intramolecular cyclization (path e) of the intermediate B and/or the cleavage (path g) of the aziridine ring in F which is formed from B by path f results in the formation of the 3-(benzenesulfonylaminomethyl)piperazine 10. The regioisomeric piperazine 11 may be given involving path h in the aziridinium cation  $\mathbf{F}$ .

In order to improve the yield of the 1,4-diazepine derivative and avoid the formation of the isomeric piperazine derivatives, we next examined the reaction of 8a with 2-phenyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)oxazoline (13). Compound 13 was prepared from 2-phenyloxazoline-4-methanol (12) [6] and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride. The reaction of 13 with 8a did not proceed in refluxing toluene. However, we found that 13 reacted with 8a at 100° without solvent, furnishing only the 6-benzoyl-amino-1,4-diazepine 14 in 31% yield. Acid hydrolysis of the benzoyl group of 14 produced the desired amine 3b (Scheme 5, method B).

For the third method, we carried out the transformation of the 1,4-diazepine-6-carboxylic acid 16 into 6-amino-1,4-diazepine 3b using the Curtius rearrangement. The

14

key intermediate 1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1H-1,4-diazepine-6-carboxylic acid (16) could be prepared from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -dibromoisobutyric acid (15) [7] and the ethylenediamine 8a in a good yield. The treatment of 16 with diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) in *tert*-butyl alcohol afforded the 6-(*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino)-1,4-diazepine 17 in a poor yield (8%). The *tert*-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) group of 17 was hydrolyzed by 10% hydrochloric acid to give the amine 3b (Scheme 6, method C).

Our final plan to prepare 3b is a stepwise synthesis from methyl 2-tert-butoxycarbonylaminopropenate (18) [8] as depicted in Scheme 7. The reaction of 18 with 8a without solvent furnished methyl  $N^3$ -(2-benzylaminoethyl)-N<sup>2</sup>-tert-butoxycarbonyl-N<sup>3</sup>-methyl-2,3diaminopropionate (19a) in a good yield. Compound 19a was then cyclized by treatment with sodium methoxide to give 1-benzyl-6-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methylhexahydro-1H-1,4-diazepin-7-one (20a) in 50% yield along with an ester interchanged product 21a in 22% yield. The use of potassium tert-butoxide or trimethyl aluminum in place of sodium methoxide resulted in a poor yield. On the other hand, the treatment of 18 with N,N'dimethylethylenediamine (8b) instead of 8a directly furnished the 1,4-diazepin-7-one 20b in 19% yield without isolation of 19b. After the deprotection of the Boc group of 20a by 10% hydrochloric acid, the resultant 6-amino-1,4-diazepin-7-one 22a was reduced by diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) to give the desired product 3b (method D).

In an alternative synthesis of 3b, the treatment of 19a with DIBAL-H at -70°, followed by reductive cyclization of the resulting aminoaldehyde 23a afforded the 6-tert-butoxycarbonylamino-1,4-diazepine 17 in 81% yield (method E); the cyclization was considered to proceed via the immonium salt of 23a. The reaction course of 18 with the starting N,N'-dibenzylethylenediamine (8c) was essen-

tially the same as those in the above method E: **18** to **19c** (room temperature 15 hours, 40%), **19c** to **23c** (-70°, 1 hour), **23c** to **24c** (-20°, 15 hours, 53% from **19c**).

In conclusion, we have found five methods A—E for the preparation of 6-amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine (**3b**) using *N*-benzyl-*N*'-methylethylenediamine (**8a**). Among them, method E involving the reductive cyclization of aminoaldehyde **23a** appears to be superior to the other four due to simple operation and the good overall yield of **3b** (58% from **18** through **19a**, **23a**, and **17**).

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

All melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The ir spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer. Electron ionization and secondary ion mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL JMS D-300 and a Hitachi M-80B spectrometers, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectra were recorded with a Varian Gemini-200 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed as  $\delta$  (ppm) values with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard, and coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). The extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate or magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Merck silica gel 60 (70—230 mesh) was used for column chromatography.

Method A. 6-Benzenesulfonylamino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine (9), 3-(Benzenesulfonylaminomethyl)-1-benzyl-4-methylpiperazine (10), and 2-(Benzenesulfonylaminomethyl)-1-benzyl-4-methylpiperazine (11).

A solution of 1-benzenesulfonyl-2-bromomethylaziridine [4] (7, 35.3 g, 0.13 mole), N-benzyl-N'-methylethylenediamine [5] (8a, 21.0 g, 0.13 mole), and triethylamine (25.8 g, 0.26 mole) in ethyl acetate (400 ml) was heated to reflux for 4 hours and then cooled to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated to leave a residue, which was chromatographed on silica gel with n-hexane:acetone:ethyl acetate = 2:1:1 to give 9.8 g (21%) of 9 as an oil, 2.2 g (5%) of 10, and 23.1 g (50%) of 11 in this order of elution.

Compound **9** (oil) had ir (neat): 3050, 2935, 2820, 1440, 1320, 1150 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.32 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.35-3.60 (m, 4H), 3.60-3.88 (m, 4H), 3.40 (m, 1H, 6-CH), 3.41 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.58 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.91 (br s, 1H, SO<sub>2</sub>NH), 7.20-7.57 (m, 8H), 7.60-7.70 (m, 2H); ms: m/z 360 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 267 (M<sup>+</sup>-92), 203 (M<sup>+</sup>-PhSO<sub>2</sub>NH).

Compound 10 had mp 100-101° (toluene-n-hexane); ir (potassium bromide): 3280, 2780, 1435, 1300, 1150 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.99 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.04-2.41 (m, 4H), 2.47-2.79 (m, 3H), 2.87 (dd, J = 1.5, 12, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 4.5, 12, 1H), 3.38 (d, J = 13.5, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.43 (d, J = 13.5, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.32 (br s, 1H, SO<sub>2</sub>NH), 7.21-7.37 (m, 5H), 7.45-7.65 (m, 3H), 7.81-7.91 (m, 2H); ms: m/z 360 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 267 (M<sup>+</sup>-92), 189 (M<sup>+</sup>-PhSO<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_2S$ : C, 63.48; H, 7.01; N, 11.69. Found: C, 63.56; H, 7.05; N, 11.60.

Compound 11 had mp 105-106° (toluene-n-hexane); ir (potassium bromide): 3020, 2935, 2800, 1440, 1325, 1155 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.18 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.08-2.37 (m, 3H), 2.40-2.68 (m, 3H), 2.78 (ddd, J = 3, 5.5, 8, 1H), 3.00 (dlike, J = 12, 1H), 3.16 (d, J = 13.5, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.27 (dd, J = 4, 12, 1H), 3.60 (d, J = 13.5, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.65 (br s, 1H, SO<sub>2</sub>NH), 7.13-7.57 (m, 8H), 7.74-7.83 (m, 2H); ms: m/z 360 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 267 (M<sup>+</sup>-92), 189 (M<sup>+</sup>- PhSO<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_2S$ : C, 63.48; H, 7.01; N, 11.69. Found: C, 63.47; H, 7.06; N, 11.55.

Method B. 2-Phenyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)oxazoline (13).

To a solution of 2-phenyloxazoline-4-methanol [6] (12, 5.9 g, 33 mmoles) and triethylamine (7.3 g, 72 mmoles) in chloroform (50 ml) was added p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (6.9 g, 36 mmoles) at 5°. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 hours and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried and then concentrated to dryness. The oily residue was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:ethyl acetate = 1:1 and recrystallized from isopropyl alcohol to give 8.4 g (76%) of 13, mp 88-90°; ir (potassium bromide): 3040, 2880, 1640, 1345, 1170 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.42 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.22-4.62 (m, 4H), 7.15-8.01 (m, 9H); ms: m/z 332 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

*Anal.* Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S•1/4 H<sub>2</sub>O; C, 60.79; H, 5.25; N, 4.17. Found: C, 60.89; H, 5.25; N, 4.12.

*N*-(1-Benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine-6-yl)benzamide (14).

A mixture of 13 (1.0 g, 3.0 mmoles) and 8a (0.8 g, 4.9 mmoles) was heated at ca. 100° for 22 hours and then cooled to room temperature. The mixture was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:methyl alcohol = 9:1 to afford 0.3 g (31%) of 14 as an oil; ir (neat): 2930, 2800, 1640, 1470 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.41 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.9-2.2, 2.45-3.05 (m, 8H), 3.55 (d, J = 14, 1H,  $CH_2$ Ph), 3.72 (d, J = 14, 1H,  $CH_2$ Ph), 4.25 (m, 1H, 6-CH), 7.15-7.55, 7.65-7.75 (m, 11H); ms: m/z 324 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

Method C. 1-Benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine-6-carboxylic Acid (16).

To a solution of β,β-dibromoisobutyric acid [7] (15, 24.6 g, 0.10 mole) in chloroform (300 ml) was added dropwise a solution of 8a (16.4 g, 0.10 mole) and triethylamine (22.2 g, 0.22 mole) in chloroform (100 ml) at 10°. The mixture was heated to reflux for 3.5 hours and then cooled to room temperature. After the solvent was evaporated, the residue was dissolved in a small amount of acetone. The resulting precipitates were filtered off, and the filtrate was kept at room temperature. The resulting precipitates were collected by filtration. Three recrystallizations of the crystals from isopropyl alcohol provided 19.5 g (79%) of 16, mp 150-153°; ir (potassium bromide): 3400, 3015, 2930, 2770, 1585, 1355 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-nmr (dimethyl sulfoxide-d<sub>6</sub>): δ 2.37 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.55-2.80 (m, 5H), 2.80-3.10 (m, 4H), 3.61 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.78 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 7.18-7.38 (m, 5H), 12.15 (br s, 1H, COOH); ms: m/z 249 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{20}N_2O_2$ : C, 67.72; H, 8.12; N, 11.28. Found: C, 67.65; H, 8.08; N, 11.31.

Compound 16 was converted in the usual manner to the corresponding dihydrochloride, 16•dihydrochloride, mp 185-190° (5% aqueous ethyl alcohol).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{20}N_2O_2$ •2HCl: C, 52.34; H, 6.90; N, 8.72. Found: C, 52.30; H, 6.74; N, 8.65.

The Curtius Rearrangement of 16.

A mixture of 16 (4.0 g, 16 mmoles), diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA, 4.4 g, 16 mmoles), triethylamine (1.6 g, 16 mmoles), and *tert*-butyl alcohol (100 ml) was heated to reflux for 15 hours, cooled to room temperature, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in chloroform and washed successively with water and brine. The solvent was evaporated

to leave an oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:methyl alcohol = 19:1 to give 0.4 g (8%) of 1-benzyl-6-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methylhexahydro-IH-1,4-diazepine (17) as an oil; ir (neat): 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.40 (s, 9H, COOC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.3-3.0, (m, 6H), 2.35 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.2-3.4 (m, 2H), 3.56 (d, J = 13, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.69 (d, J = 13, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.75 (m, 1H), 5.45 (br d, J = 9, 1H, NHCO), 7.15-7.45 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 320 (MH+).

Method D. Methyl  $N^3$ -(2-Benzylaminoethyl)- $N^2$ -tert-butoxycarbonyl- $N^3$ -methyl-2,3-diaminopropionate (19a).

A mixture of methyl 2-tert-butoxycarbonylaminopropenate [8] (18, 4.0 g, 20 mmoles) and 8a (16.0 g, 98 mmoles) was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:methyl alcohol = 9:1 to give 6.0 g (83%) of 19a as an oil; ir (neat): 1740, 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.45 (s, 9H, COO(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.83 (br s, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.52-2.77 (m, 6H), 3.72 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.83 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.30 (m, 1H, 2-CH), 5.88 (m, 1H, NH), 7.20-7.39 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 366 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

1-Benzyl-6-(*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepin-7-one (**20a**) and 1-Benzyl-6-methoxycarbonylamino-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepin-7-one (**21a**).

A mixture of 19a (2.4 g, 6.6 mmoles), 28% sodium methoxide in methyl alcohol (2.8 g, 15 mmoles), and toluene (50 ml) was heated at 80° for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then washed successively with water and brine. The solvent was evaporated to leave an oily residue, which was chromatographed on silica gel with ethyl acetate to give 1.1 g (50%) of 20a and 0.4 g (22%) of 21a in this order of the elution.

Compound **20a** (oil) had ir (neat): 1700, 1645 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.46 (s, 9H, COOC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.08 (dd, J = 11, 13, 1H, 3-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.28 (dd, J = 12, 13, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.78 (dd, J = 5, 13, 1H, 3-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.05 (br d, J = 12, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.19 (dd, J = 5, 16, 1H, 2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.75 (dd, J = 11, 16, 1H, 2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.51 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.63 (m, 1H, 6-CH), 4.75 (d, J = 14, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.99 (m, 1H, NHCO), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 334 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 292, 278, 260.

Compound **21a** (oil) had ir (neat): 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.00 (dd, J = 2, 12, 1H, 3-C $H_2$ ), 2.21 (dd, J = 10, 12, 1H, 5-C $H_2$ ), 2.34 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.73 (dd, J = 5, 12, 1H, 3-C $H_2$ ), 3.01 (br d, J = 12, 1H, 5-C $H_2$ ), 3.19 (dd, J = 5, 16, 1H, 2-C $H_2$ ), 3.62 (dd, J = 10, 16, 1H, 2-C $H_2$ ), 3.70 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.54 (d, J = 14, 1H, C $H_2$ Ph), 4.61 (m, 1H, 6-CH), 4.73 (d, J = 14, 1H, C $H_2$ Ph), 6.20 (m, 1H, NHCO), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 277 (M+), 202 (M+-CH<sub>3</sub>OCONH<sub>2</sub>), 175.

6-Amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepin-7-one (22a).

A solution of **20a** (3.4 g, 10 mmoles) in a mixture of methyl alcohol (20 ml) and 10% hydrochloric acid (20 ml) was heated to reflux for 1 hour and then cooled to room temperature. After the methyl alcohol was evaporated, the aqueous solution was basified with 10% sodium hydroxide solution and extracted with chloroform. The extract was washed with brine and concentrated to dryness to give 2.3 g (97%) of **22a** as an oil; ir (neat): 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.87 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 1.97 (dd, J = 2, 12, 1H, 3-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.25 (dd, J = 10, 12, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>),

2.33 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.72 (dd, J = 5, 12, 1H, 3-C $H_2$ ), 2.83 (dd, J = 2, 12, 1H, 5-C $H_2$ ), 3.20 (ddd, J = 2, 5, 16, 1H, 2-C $H_2$ ), 3.61 (dd, J = 10, 16, 1H, 2-C $H_2$ ), 3.87 (dd, J = 2, 10, 1H, 6-CH), 4.60 (d, J = 14, 1H, C $H_2$ Ph), 4.70 (d, J = 14, 1H, C $H_2$ Ph), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 233 (M+), 190.

Method E. 1-Benzyl-6-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methyl-hexahydro-1H-1,4-diazepine (17).

To a solution of 19a (4.5 g, 12 mmoles) in toluene (40 ml) was added 1M solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) in tetrahydrofuran (37 ml, 37 mmoles) at  $-70^{\circ}$ . The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 hour and the excess reagent was decomposed with methyl alcohol (40 ml) at  $-70^{\circ}$ . After the mixture was then warmed to  $-20^{\circ}$ , sodium borohydride (0.5 g, 13 mmoles) was gradually added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours and then concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in chloroform and washed successively with water and brine and dried. The solvent was evaporated to leave an oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:methyl alcohol = 19:1 to give 3.2 g (81%) of 17 as an oil, which was identified with the sample obtained the Curtius rearrangement of 16, on the basis of tlc, ir, and  $^{1}$ H-nmr comparisons.

6-Amino-1-benzyl-4-methylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine (3b).

A mixture of 9 (6.1 g, 17 mmoles), sodium bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride (24.5 g of 70% solution of toluene, 84 mmoles), and xylene (200 ml) was heated to reflux for 24 hours and then cooled to room temperature. The excess reagent was decomposed with water at  $5^{\circ}$ . After the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, the organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroform:methyl alcohol = 9:1 to give 3.0 g (81%) of 3b as an oil; ir (neat): 3300, 2920, 2790, 1440 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.25 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15-2.75 (m, 6H), 2.75-2.95 (m, 2H), 3.07 (m, 1H), 3.61 (d, J = 13, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.69 (d, J = 13, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 7.15-7.45 (m, 5H, arom H); ms: m/z 220 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

A solution of 14 (1.0 g, 3.1 mmoles) in concentrated hydrochloric acid (10 ml) was heated to reflux for 5 hours and then cooled to room temperature. After the reaction mixture was washed with diethyl ether, the aqueous solution was basified with 20% sodium hydroxide solution, and extracted with chloroform. The extract was washed with brine and evaporated to give 0.5 g (74%) of 3b as an oil, which was identified with the sample obtained above, on the basis of tlc, ir, and <sup>1</sup>H-nmr comparisons.

A mixture of 17 (2.0 g, 6.3 mmoles) and 10% hydrochloric acid (100 ml) was heated to reflux for 3 hours and then cooled to room temperature. Following work-up similar to that described above was given 1.2 g (87%) of 3b as an oil, which was identified with the sample obtained above, on the basis of tlc, ir, and <sup>1</sup>H-nmr comparisons.

To a solution of 22a (2.3 g, 9.9 mmoles) in toluene (23 ml) was added dropwise a solution of 1M DIBAL-H in toluene (49 ml, 49 mmoles) at -5°. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours and recooled to 5°, and the excess of the reducing agent was decomposed by the addition of water. After the insoluble materials were filtered off, the filtrate was basified with 20% sodium hydroxide solution and extracted with

chloroform. The extract was washed with brine and evaporated to give 1.8 g (83%) of 3b as an oil, which was identified with the sample obtained above, on the basis of tlc, ir, and <sup>1</sup>H-nmr comparisons.

Methyl  $N^3$ -Benzyl- $N^3$ -(2-benzylaminoethyl)- $N^2$ -tert-butoxy-carbonyl-2,3-diaminopropionate (19c).

In a similar manner to that described for **18** to **19a**, compound **19c** was prepared from N,N'-dibenzylethylenediamine (**8c**) and **18** in 40% yield as an oil; ir (neat): 1740, 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.45 (s, 9H, COO(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.60-2.92 (m, 6H), 2.82 (d, 1H, J = 6, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.60 (d, 1H, J = 6, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.66 (s, 3H, COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.80 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.40 (m, 1H, 2-CH), 5.45 (m, 1H, NH), 6.00 (m, 1H, NH), 7.20-7.45 (m, 10H, arom H); ms: m/z 442 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

6-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonylamino)-1,4-dibenzylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepine (**24c**).

In a similar manner to that described for **19a** to **17**, compound **24c** was prepared from **19c** in 53% yield as an oil; ir (neat):  $1705 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  ${}^{1}\text{H-nmr}$  (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.37-1.65 (m, 9H, COOC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.45-2.81 (m, 6H), 2.82-3.00 (m, 2H), 3.58 (d, J = 15, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph x 2), 3.70 (d, J = 15, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph x 2), 3.74 (m, 1H), 5.31 (m, 1H, NHCO), 7.15-7.45 (m, 10H, arom H); ms: m/z 396 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

6-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonylamino)-1,4-dimethylhexahydro-1*H*-1,4-diazepin-7-one (**20b**).

In a similar manner to that described for **18** to **19a**, compound **20b** was obtained from N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (**8b**) and **18** in 19% yield as an oil; ir (neat): 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.45 (s, 9H, COOC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.18-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.75-3.10 (m, 2H), 3.05 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.26 (dd, J = 6, 16, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.91 (dd, J = 11, 16, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.59 (m, 1H, 6-CH), 5.91 (m, 1H, NHCO); ms: m/z 258 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

Acknowledgment.

We wish to thank the staff of the Analytical Chemistry Division of the Laboratories for elemental analyses and spectral measurements.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] T. Morie, S. Kato, H. Harada, I. Fujiwara, K. Watanabe, and J. Matsumoto, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2565 (1994).
- [2] T. Kon, S. Kato, T. Morie, T. Karasawa, and N. Yoshida, European Patent Appl. EP 358903 (1990); *Chem. Abstr.*, 113, 132222k (1990).
  - [3] V. R. Gaertner, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 8, 519 (1971).
- [4a] W. J. Gensler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1843 (1948); [b] W. J. Gensler, B. A. Brooks, and W. R. Koehler, J. Org. Chem., 30, 4365 (1965); [c] V. I. Markov, V. A. Doroshenko, O. I. Klimenko, and G. P. Sachko, J. Org. Chem. USSR, 8, 1754 (1972) and references cited therein.
- [5a] E. Jukar and E. Rissi, Helv. Chim. Acta, 45, 2383 (1962); [b]
   S. Cortes and H. Kohn, J. Org. Chem., 48, 2246 (1983).
- [6] R. Paul, R. P. Williams, and E. Cohen, J. Org. Chem., 40, 1653 (1975).
- [7] J. M. Cassady, G. A. Howie, J. M. Robinson, and I. K. Stamos, Org. Synth., 61, 77 (1983).
- [8] N. Tamura, Y. Matsusita, K. Yoshioka, and M. Ochiai, Tetrahedron, 44, 3231 (1988).